

EVENT REPORT FORM

Type of event	Training
Venue	University of Rijeka, Faculty of Civil Engineering (UNIRIFCE)
Date	18-20 September 2019
Organizer	University of Rijeka, Faculty of Civil Engineering (UNIRIFCE)
Reporting date	23 September 2019
Report author(s)	Milan Gocic, Barbara Karleusa

EVENT DESCRIPTION

with special reference to goals and outcomes

Number of participants at the event	23
Participants (organisations)	All SWARM WB partners
Event description:	
<p>The third theme based training of teaching staff for acquiring new teaching and learning methods of the Erasmus+ CBHE project “Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders” (SWARM) was held at the University of Rijeka, Faculty of Civil Engineering (UNIRIFCE) from 18th to 20th September 2019. All WB project partners took part at this training.</p> <p>Seventeen representatives from 8 partner institutions were present at the training (15 out of 23 were from WB partner HEIs – UNI, UNS, UNSA, UNMO, UPKM, TCASU and UoM).</p>	

University of Nis



**Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management
for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders**

First day

After the participants' registration, which started at 09:30, Ivana Štimac Grandić, dean of Faculty of Civil Engineering, welcomed everyone. Barbara Karleuša, contact person at the UNIRIFCE for the SWARM project, presented the agenda and welcomed participants. Nevenka Ožanić presented University Campus and laboratory development and highlighted the need of similar projects for the improvement of WB HEI's capacity. Barbara Karleuša presented UNIRIFCE studies related to WRM. Next two presentations were oriented to the quality assurance and monitoring (QAM). Aleksandra Deluka Tibljaš presented QAM at the university level while Barbara Karleuša presented at the faculty level. Both of them highlighted the need for corrections in learning methods and curricula accepting QAM results.

Nevena Dragičević and Barbara Karleuša presented the course "Water resources management" (master study) and models of master thesis preparation in WRM courses.

All participants of the SWARM project had special training on e-learning in WRM provided by Nino Krvavica. He explained how to use Google classroom in teaching purposes.

The first training day finished at 16:00.

Second day

The participants' registration started at 09:30. Josip Rubinić explained issues in WRM in Croatia in climate change context. WRM should be adapted in line with the climate changes. Igor Ružić presented his team experience in WRM applying innovative and scientific approaches. Goran Volf presented assessment of proper wastewater treatment level according to marine ecosystem state.

The second day finished at 12:30.

Third day

The third day started at 13:00 after Project Management Committee meeting. All SWARM staff had practice teaching, i.e. tour to the Laboratory of Hydrotechnics. Vanja Travaš, Elvis Žic, and Goran Volf presented the use of laboratory and equipment in teaching and preparation of graduation thesis, research and professional work.

The third day finished at 16:30 with the general discussion and closing remarks.

Attachments

Agenda (pdf)	SWARM training - Agenda
Attendance list (pdf)	SWARM training - Attendance lists
Photos (jpg)	Photos on website and project platform
Presentations (pdf)	01 University Campus and Laboratory Development - Nevenka Ožanić 02 Presentation of UNIRIFCE studies - Barbara Karleuša 03 Quality Assurance and Monitoring – Aleksandra Deluka Tibljaš

	04 Quality Assurance and Monitoring – Faculty Level - Barbara Karleuša 05 Presentation of the course WRM - Barbara Karleuša and nevena Dragičević 06 Issues in WRM in Croatia in climate change context - Josip Rubinić 07 Innovative and scientific approaches in WRM - Igor Ružić 08 Assessment of Proper Wastewater Treatment Level - Goran Volf
Other personal remarks	

Organisation details

Date of event material release	20 September 2019
Date of participants list's finalisation	20 September 2019
Date of agenda finalisation	20 September 2019
Number of participants (according to the attendance list)	23
Comments	

Problems encountered during the event preparation phase

Please add your comments, if any:

Strengths and limitations of the event (please include comments received)

Strengths of the event and contributions or activities by participants	
Suggestions for the improvement	
Any further comments	Training was excellent organised with the participation of all WB representatives.

Evaluation details

Results of evaluation of the general organisation of the event

Description


The general organization of the training was evaluated with very high marks. The event was organized totally in line with the previous defined agenda.

Table/Figure

The general organisation of the workshop

Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Logistic preparation and organization of event	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Content of the agenda	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Arrangements of the event (venue, equipment, etc.)	0	0	0	16.7	83.3

The general organisation of the training




Results of evaluation of general working communication

Description

The general working communication was evaluated with high marks. The host members were up to the task.

Table/Figure

General working communication					
Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Communication before the event	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Duration and timetable of the event	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Quality of materials provided during the event	0	0	0	16.7	83.3
Quality of presentations	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Communication between the organizer and the other partners	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	0	0	0	8.3	91.7



Results of evaluation of overall success of the event

Description


The overall success of the training was evaluated with high marks. All WB partners had an opportunity to express their opinion through discussion. The training was excellent organized, same as field trip.

Table/Figure

Overall success of the event

Grading	Poor	Very poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the event	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Objectives in the agenda regarding the SWARM project are reached	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and events	0	0	0	8.3	91.7
Assignment of follow-up tasks	0	0	0	8.3	91.7

Overall success of the training



Please indicate your suggestions for further event's improvement:

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.